

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/881,591	06/14/2001	Howard A. Lindsay	35708.0300	6405
75	590 06/03/2003			
Snell & Wilmer L.L.P. One Arizona Center 400 East Van Buren			EXAMINER HOOK, JAMES F	
			3752	8
			DATE MAILED: 06/03/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

3

Office Action Summary

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/881,591

Lindsay

Examiner

James F. Hook

Art Unit **3752**



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Parind f	or Reply	The cover sheet with the concepting
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET	TO EXPIRE1 MONTH(S) FROM
	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	and the Alexander Silved after CIV 163 MACHITLIC from Alexander
mailing	date of this communication.	o event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
- If the p	eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply ar	statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. Indicate the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- Failure	to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the	application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	,
Status		
1) 📙	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) X This acti	on is non-final.
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Ex par	xcept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is te Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	tion of Claims	
4) X	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗌	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
7) 🗌	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) 💢	Claims <u>1-24</u>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	tion Papers	
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed onis/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the di	
11)		is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) 🗆	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received in Application No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea	ocuments have been received in this National Stage au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified copies not received.
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
a) [•	
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachm		
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) Inf	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/881,591 Page 2

Art Unit: 3752

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-12, drawn to a tubular structure, classified in class 138, subclass 153.
 - II. Claims 13-24, drawn to a method of assembling, classified in class 264, subclass 171.27.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

 Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are

 distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be

 used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be

 made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case that the

 product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as coextrusion,

 where the particulars of the method claims are not required in the article claims.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: there appears to be two different species attempted to be claimed, one requiring a groove and one having a smooth tube. It is unclear whether these two different embodiments are being claimed when claims 23 and 24 claim a smooth surface but then lack

Application/Control Number: 09/881,591

Art Unit: 3752

antecedent basis when referring to the strand lying in "said at least one indented groove" which has no basis in these claims, therefore it is not clear whether a second embodiment is being claimed or whether the claim language is just flawed. If such is merely an error in the claim language, applicant may provide arguments only traversing the election of species along with an amendment correcting the claim language, or if two species are being claimed, one must be chosen as set forth below.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Application/Control Number: 09/881,591 Page 4

Art Unit: 3752

a . . . 3

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to the office of Scott Smith on May 27, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made, there was no answer and no voice mail available at the time.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Hook whose telephone number is (703) 308-2913.

J. Hook June 2, 2003 James F. Hook
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3752